About ACCME Examples

Throughout this document this font is associated with examples. The ACCME is sharing with you examples of providers’ practices, programs, strategies or procedures – as we were able to determine them from the three data sources reviewed during the accreditation process (self study report, interview and performance-in-practice documentation review). These are examples only. The reader should understand that these are not prescribed practices, nor do they represent a list of options from which providers must choose. They are what some providers have chosen to do to fulfill the expectation of the 2006 Accreditation Criteria. They are examples of what the ACCME believes represent practices in keeping with the spirit and word of the Criteria, as well as practices which are not in keeping with the spirit and word of the Criteria.

The CME Mission (C1)

Criterion 1: The provider has a CME mission statement that includes all of the basic components (CME purpose, content areas, target audience, type of activities, expected results) with expected results articulated in terms of changes in competence, performance, or patient outcomes that will be the result of the program.

ACCME note about Criterion 1: The ACCME is looking for explicit information on the five components of the CME mission, in order to understand how the organization intends to change their learners’ (competence and/or performance and/or patient outcomes) through an overall CME program oriented to a stated purpose. The manner in which the provider intends to achieve these expected results is described in terms of the content areas the CME will address, who the target audience of their educational efforts will be, and what types of activities they will pursue. Compliance is determined when all the components are mentioned and the expected results are ‘articulated in terms of changes in competence, performance, or patient outcomes that will be the result of the program.’

Example of Compliance with Criterion 1:

Example 1. “The [Name deleted] School of Medicine CME program seeks to see beyond the traditional administrative and crediting functions of medical education, and challenges itself to become a catalyst, advocate for, and a provider of education that promotes change, development and improvement. Purpose: Our CME program sees its purpose as providing high-quality, evidence-based educational opportunities that are designed to advance physician competence, enhance practice performance, promote patient safety, and, where possible, improve patient outcomes in the populations served by...”
the healthcare providers we educate. Target Audience: We seek to serve not only the educational needs of healthcare professionals (physicians, allied health personnel, basic scientists, and researchers) within our institution but the needs of local, regional, and national healthcare providers and colleagues as well. Types of Activities Provided: As a CME provider at an academic tertiary-care medical center, our educational activities include department-specific, interdepartmental and specialty-specific conferences, symposia and seminars. These events take the form of live courses, live regularly scheduled series, and live internet activities. The educational design, instructional method and learning format for each event is chosen to best serve the educational needs and learning objectives of the planned educational activity. Content: Included among our educational offerings are updates in clinical medicine and basic science research, reviews of current or best practice recommendations for clinical care, learning modules in quality improvement, procedural and communication skills development exercises, as well as professional development in bioethics, leadership and patient safety. Expected Results: We expect that they will report greater confidence in their approach to clinical problems or express their intent to change their behavior and apply newly acquired strategies in their practice. We expect that when observed our learners will demonstrate competence and the effective use of targeted skills. Finally, if evaluated within the setting of clinical practice, we expect performance parameters to show improvement or a favorable impact on targeted patient outcomes to be demonstrated.”

Example 2. Excerpt from expected results section of the provider’s mission statement: “The expected result of our educational activities is that participating physicians enhance their knowledge and skills in the subject area(s) offered, and apply the knowledge and skills to improve performance and patient outcomes in their practice settings.”

Educational Planning (C2 - C10)

Criterion 2: The provider incorporates into CME activities the educational needs (knowledge, competence, or performance) that underlie the professional practice gaps of their own learners

ACCME note about Criterion 2: Provider identifies gaps between current practice or outcomes and desirable or achievable practice or outcomes (i.e., professional practice gaps). The provider deduces needs as the ‘knowledge causes,’ ‘strategy causes,’ or ‘performance causes’ of the professional practice gap(s). The key for compliance is to be able to show ACCME that planning included the identification of a professional practice gap from which needs were identified. A common theme in the noncompliance descriptions is that the ACCME could not find in the description any evidence that a professional practice gap was identified. Professional practice is not limited to clinical, patient care practice but can also include, for example, research practice and administrative practice.

Examples of Compliance with Criterion 2:

Example 1. The provider identifies professional practice gaps from national data from peer-reviewed published literature, databases such as Cochrane and registries such as www.clinicaltrials.gov. The provider interviews recognized thought leaders in the content
area related to a practice gap to review the data to determine the underlying needs that are relevant to the target audience and then develops CME activities to address these needs.

**Example 2.** After a broad subject category is chosen, the provider identifies professional practice gaps in the area through review of new practice guidelines, national data, professional society/college data, and government publications. Participants also complete pre-activity surveys to define their own practice gaps and identify their underlying needs related to the gaps. CME activities then focus to address these needs.

**Example 3.** Practice gaps are identified by reviewing reports from the CDC, the IOM, daily news clippings related to infectious disease, and from their membership input. The provider also conducts surveys of its membership to assess their needs as related to identified gaps.

**Example 4.** Examples in the Self Study Narrative describe several CME activities implemented to address a training gap in the use of digital mammography; the gap is based on a study published in the journal "Radiology."

**Example 5.** The provider developed and demonstrates the use of a “Gap Analysis” worksheet that identified for their physician learners Best Practice, Current Practice, Resulting Gaps, Gap Cause Deduced (Knowledge, Competence, Performance), Learning Objective, Outcome Indicated (Competence, Performance, Patient Outcomes), and Outcomes Questions.

**Examples of Noncompliance with Criterion 2:**

**Example 1.** The provider designs courses to assist learners to pass board review courses. However they do not provide evidence of how the board requirements are either a gap in physicians’ professional practice or are linked to or derived from a gap.

**Example 2.** The provider provided specimens of information-gathering tools (surveys, evaluation forms, statistical data, and national trends) as evidence of professional practice gaps. These examples did not show that the provider identified knowledge, competence or performance educational needs that underlie any of these gaps.

**Example 3.** The information presented describes that the provider uses information gathered from past participant evaluations, follow-up surveys, and literature. The evidence does not, however, demonstrate that the provider links this information to professional practice gaps of their learners.

**Example 4.** The provider stated, "We offer CME that is federally or state-mandated for physician re-licensure. The fact that the education is mandated indicates that the state or federal health agency has conducted an evaluation and determined there is a gap in professional performance or patient outcomes." However, the provider did not connect these mandates to professional practice gaps of the provider’s own learners.

**Example 5.** The provider describes in its self study report the incorporation of educational needs (knowledge, competence, or performance) that underlie the professional practice gaps of their own learners. However, evidence presented for the activities reviewed did not demonstrate that this occurred consistently in either RSS or non-RSS activities. RSS comprised over 90% of the provider’s total physician participants during its current term.
Example 6. The provider described in its self study report a process to identify professional practice gaps by referencing national data regarding patient safety and medical ethics, and the needs that were underlying these gaps. However, the provider did not link the professional practice gaps to their own learners nor articulate educational needs underlying professional practice gaps in terms of knowledge, competence, or performance in the planning process.

Example 7. The provider does not identify professional practice gaps. It identifies educational needs through post-activity surveys and requests from physicians/institutions/healthcare professional groups. The provider referenced the National Healthcare Quality and Disparities Report which discusses "differences in health care quality and access associated with patient race, ethnicity, income, education, and place of residence," but did not relate this report to their learners' professional practice gaps.

**Criterion 3:** The provider generates activities/educational interventions that are designed to change competence, performance, or patient outcomes as described in its mission statement.

**ACCME note about Criterion 3:** This criterion is the implementation of Criterion 2 in the provider’s overall program of CME. In the planning of its program of CME activities, the provider must attempt to change physicians’ competence, performance, or patient outcomes, based on what was identified as needs (that underlie a professional practice gap). The ACCME’s expectation is that the education will be designed to change learners’ strategies (competence), or what learners actually do in practice (performance), or the impact on the patient or on healthcare (patient outcomes.) The ACCME affirms that “knowledge” is acceptable content for accredited CME. With respect to Criteria 3 and 11, even if the preponderance of a provider’s activities is focused solely on changing knowledge, the provider must still show how these activities contribute to the overall program’s efforts to change learners’ competence, or performance or patient outcomes.

**Examples of Compliance with Criterion 3:**

**Example 1.** Activities are designed to change competence through the use of case-based scenarios and an Audience Response System that poses questions about what the learners would do when presented with the case.

**Example 2.** Activities are designed to change performance (surgical skills lab utilizing models, cadavers) with ultimate goal of improving patient outcomes.

**Example 3.** The provider designs activities that translate gaps and needs into educational activities intended to change competence, performance and patient outcomes. The provider’s planning document juxtaposes identified gaps with a desired result and content to focus meeting a need of knowledge, competence, or performance.

**Example 4.** The provider provides examples of their activities designed to change knowledge, competence, and performance and provided faculty with tools and instructions on how to incorporate clinical cases into their course curriculum in an effort to move learners beyond changes in knowledge to changes in competence.

**Example 5.** The provider utilizes didactic lectures and an annual, case-based slide survey for practical skills training in clinical pediatric xxxxx.

**Example 6.** As part of its planning process, the provider ensures that each activity is designed to change physician strategies that can be applied to practice. The provider measures this outcome by including an evaluation component that asks, “Will you make
changes in your practice as a result of this activity?” and “Please describe a specific change you will make to your practice.”

Example 7. The provider directly links objectives to a single professional practice gap it identified as “mission critical” for its entire CME program. These objectives are consistent with, and support achievement of the CME mission to, “improve physician performance with regard to communicating with patients.”

Examples of Noncompliance with Criterion 3:

Example 1. The provider described in its self study report the generation of activities designed to change competence, performance, or patient outcomes as described in its mission statement. However, there was no evidence of the implementation of this process in the activities reviewed.

Example 2. The provider describes in its self study report and presents evidence that its activities were solely designed to change knowledge. In addition, the provider did not demonstrate that it collected and analyzed data and information to assess the compliance of its program of RSSs (regularly scheduled series) for Criterion 2. RSS comprise over 50% of the provider’s total hours of instruction during its current term.

Example 3. Although the provider describes in its self study report the generation of activities designed to change patterns of care and the application of new information, the examples presented in the self study report and in the activities reviewed show evidence only of activities designed to change knowledge, not competence, performance, or patient outcomes.

Example 4. In its self study report and the activities reviewed, the provider presents evidence of activities designed to change knowledge, but no evidence of how these activities or the overall CME program support a change in learners’ competence, performance, or patient outcomes.

Criterion 4: The provider generates activities/educational interventions around content that matches the learners’ current or potential scope of professional activities.

**ACCME note about Criterion 4:** The provider demonstrates that the content of its CME activities are related to what the learners actually do, or may one day do, in their professional practice. The ACCME allows considerable latitude in this area for material that could be considered, for example, ‘just-in-case’ CME or theoretical, or ‘discovery’, presentations on new knowledge.

Examples of Compliance with Criterion 4:

Example 1. The program’s New Course Proposal includes a description of how the content of the activity is aligned with the targeted learners’ current or potential scope of practice.

Example 2. The provider designs CME activities that match learners’ scope of practice. One activity, given as an example, was designed based upon the request of a specialty society for a training course to enable its members to engage in evidence analysis and grading of recommendations for the purpose of writing clinical guidelines.

Example 3. The provider Annual Meeting Program Committee plans its educational activities according to “tracks” which match their learners’ current or potential scope of practice with the content.
Example 4. The provider reports in its self study report that on average, over 91% of their learners are members of the specialty society for its clinical discipline and 93% are affiliated with a cleft/craniofacial team – which is what their CME program is about.

Example 5. The provider develops educational offerings to match the scope of practice of its broad constituency by using a content-coding system to assist program planners in their work and learners in organizing their learning based on areas of practice.

Example 6. The provider’s process for ensuring that educational activities at its annual meeting are matched to learners’ scope of practice includes having gap and needs data reviewed by an expert “Education Program Committee.” The provider shared meeting minutes as evidence that this process was applied to their program’s activities.

Examples of Noncompliance with Criterion 4:

Example 1. Description and evidence presented by the provider for its non-RSS activities demonstrate Compliance with this Criterion. However, the provider did not demonstrate that it has collected and analyzed data and information to assess the Compliance of its program of RSSs in this area. RSS comprise over 50% of the provider's total hours of instruction during its current term.

Criterion 5: The provider chooses educational formats for activities/interventions that are appropriate for the setting, objectives, and desired results of the activity.

ACCME note about Criterion 5: All activity formats (eg, didactic, small group, interactive, hands-on skills labs) are perfectly acceptable and must be chosen based on what the provider hopes to achieve with respect to change in competence, performance, and/or patient outcomes. The ACCME is looking for information to demonstrate that the choice of educational format took into account the setting, objectives, and desired results of the activity.

Examples of Compliance with Criterion 5:

Example 1. The program designs activities in a number of formats, including, but not limited to, lectures, online programs, home study, small group and panel discussion, case study, simulation, and lab courses. Formats are based on participant feedback or the nature of the content to be delivered.

Example 2. The provider utilizes a variety of formats including live activities built on didactic presentations, enduring materials (some of which are delivered via the Internet), hands-on training, interactive sessions utilizing an audience response system, journal clubs, and moderated morbidity & mortality sessions. The provider has recently established a simulation suite.

Example 3. The provider described the different learning formats it uses, rationales for format choices, strategies used to focus on competence and performance, and the guidance provided by its Education Council in choosing formats.

Example 4. In the self study report, the provider shared its rationale for choosing grand rounds-style speaker programs via podcast, stating, “a dynamic interview format gives listeners multiple perspectives to consider and apply to their own practices, making this a valuable educational intervention for oncology healthcare professionals.”
Example 5. The provider utilizes its annual meeting to educate members which come from several disciplines. Formats include lectures, keynote addresses, platform presentations, panel discussions, case studies, ethics forums, debates, hands-on study sessions, and "eye openers" for beginning, intermediate, and advanced learners.

Example 6. In the survey interview, the provider explained that it uses scientific, didactic and case-based presentations in its annual meeting to address different aspects of its learner’s professional practice.

Example 7. The provider gives planners a catalog of educational formats and their rationale for appropriate use in a particular type of CME activity.

Example of Noncompliance with Criterion 5:

Example 1. Description and evidence presented by the provider for its non-RSS activities demonstrate Compliance with this Criterion. However, the provider did not demonstrate that it has collected and analyzed data and information to assess the Compliance of its program of RSSs in this area. RSS comprise over 50% of the provider’s total hours of instruction during its current term.

Example 2. In its self study report, the provider indicated that its journals are included in searchable databases, and in activities reviewed, the provider included a copy of a Table of Contents. The provider did not demonstrate with this information, or with any other information, that it chooses educational formats that are appropriate for the setting, objectives and desired results of its activities.

Criterion 6: The provider develops activities/educational interventions in the context of desirable physician attributes (e.g., IOM competencies, ACGME Competencies).

ACCME note about Criterion 6: The ACCME is looking for an active recognition of "desirable physician attributes" in the planning process (e.g., "We have planned to do a set of activities that touch on professionalism and communications to address our patients' concerns that they are not receiving complete discharge instructions – which is the identified professional practice gap."). The simple labelling of an activity with a 'competency' is a start and provides the learner with information with which to choose an activity and potentially will be important for reporting purposes within Maintenance of Certification™.

Examples of Compliance with Criterion 6:

Example 1. Activities are developed in terms of competencies (medical knowledge) and specialty-specific competencies.

Example 2. The provider designs activities/educational interventions in the context of a desirable physician attribute on both an individual activity level and a programmatic level.

Example 3. Activities developed based on medical knowledge, evidence-based practice, quality improvement, patient-centered care, interpersonal and communication skills.

Example 4. In its self study report, the provider describes how the themes of its annual meeting are mapped to the ACGME competencies.

Example 5. In its self study report and examples, the provider explains that its Education Committee solicits input from workshop section experts to plan each annual meeting session, where, "the experts will assess and assure that workshop content will include information on the various ACGME competencies: medical knowledge, patient care, system's based practice and practice-
based learning in particular. For example, the 3-day Perinatal Pathology Course addresses all of these relevant core competencies.”

Example 6. In its activity files, the provider documented the link between desirable physician attributes (IOM, ABMS, AAMC competencies) and the content related to those competencies.

Example 7. A table in the provider’s self study report maps ACGME competencies against learning objectives from each RSS series.

Example 8. The provider uses a “new activity application” that lists the six ACGME/ABMS competencies, and planners are required to indicate which competencies will be addressed.

Examples of Noncompliance with Criterion 6:

Example 1. Description and evidence presented by the provider for its non-RSS activities demonstrate Compliance with this Criterion. However, the provider did not demonstrate that it has collected and analyzed data and information to assess the Compliance of its program of RSSs in this area. RSS comprise over 50% of the provider’s total hours of instruction during its current term.

Example 2. Evidence presented in the self study report and in the activities selected for review does not demonstrate that the provider has developed activities in the context of desirable physician attributes.

Example 3. The provider described a list of documents, including standards of training, a suggested outline for technical courses, procedural skills, and technique proficiencies for a specific clinical discipline. However, the provider did not describe a link between these documents and the development of their program of CME. The evidence did not demonstrate that the provider developed activities in the context of desirable physician attributes.

Example 4. The provider’s self study report contained a paragraph on how the provider “will be collaborating with its medical board organization in the creation of educational modules that focus on professionalism.” However, at the time of review for reaccreditation, the provider had not begun development of those modules.

Example 5. The provider described in its self study report that its specialty board has developed an exam and has approved a variety of training courses. However, the provider did not demonstrate with this information, or with other information presented, that it develops activities in the context of desirable physician attributes.

**ACCME Standards for Commercial SupportSM (C7-C10)**

**Criterion 7:** The provider develops activities/educational interventions independent of commercial interests. (SCS 1, 2, and 6)

**ACCME note about Criterion 7:** Accredited continuing medical education is always designed and presented in a manner whereby the accredited provider retains control of the content of CME. Providers are expected to ensure that activity planning and implementation is in the hands of the provider. The provider must obtain information from all those in control of content (e.g., planners, teachers, and authors) so as to allows for the management and resolution of potential conflicts of interest. The provider must disclose to learners the relevant financial relationships of all those who control the content of CME.
Examples of Compliance with Criterion 7:

Example 1. The information submitted describes a planning process that clearly delineates the roles and responsibilities of the provider, its planners and faculty. The provider ensures that there is no control or input from commercial interests. All planners’ and teachers’ conflicts of interests have been identified and resolved. Disclosure of relevant, or no, financial relationships to learners has occurred.

Examples of Noncompliance with Criterion 7:

Example 1. The provider’s commercial support policy, presented as evidence in the self study report, states “the provider may request suggestions for presenters or sources of possible presenters from a commercial supporter.” This is inconsistent with the ACCME’s requirement that a provider must ensure such decisions are made free of the control of a commercial interest. (SCS 1)

Example 2. The provider did not demonstrate that the following decisions were made independent of commercial interests: the identification of CME needs, the determination of educational objectives, the selection and presentation of content, the selection of persons and organizations that will be in a position to control the content of the CME, the selection of educational methods, and the evaluation of the activity were made independently of commercial interests. For example, the provider describes a planning process that involves planners and editors from ACCME-defined commercial interests. At the interview, the provider discussed how some activity topics come from individuals who work for an ACCME-defined commercial interest that shares office space with the provider. In addition, the provider indicated that it offered commercial supporters a courtesy review of its CME content in order to get supporter feedback. (SCS 1)

Example 3. The provider did not demonstrate independence in its CME activity development. Evidence presented to the ACCME points to a planning process influenced by commercial interests. A potential speaker is identified as preferred for several attributes, including the fact that she may have a relationship with a commercial interest (the same company from which commercial support would be solicited). (SCS 1)

Example 4. In its self study report, performance-in-practice files, and interview, the provider demonstrates that it identifies relevant financial relationships of faculty. However, the provider does not identify relevant financial relationships of planning committee members who are also involved in the content development of its CME activities. Without identifying this information from everyone who is in control of the content of the CME activities, the provider is unable to identify and resolve potential conflicts of interest. In addition, the provider shows that in its CME activities it discloses to learners, “only significant financial relationships between faculty speakers and commercial interests”. This is not consistent with the ACCME’s definition of “relevant financial relationships”. (SCS 2)

Example 5. For its test-item writing activities, the provider did not demonstrate the implementation of a mechanism to identify and resolve conflicts of interest for all persons in control of content, including for example, all faculty, reviewers, and CME committee members. Therefore, not all conflicts of interest could be identified or resolved prior to the activity. (SCS 2)
Example 6. The provider describes a mechanism to resolve conflicts of interest, but the implementation of a mechanism to resolve conflicts of interest was not consistently documented in the activities reviewed. In addition, evidence was not presented to demonstrate that all individuals in control of CME content disclose relevant financial relationships to the provider. (SCS 2)

Example 7. The provider did not have evidence of consistently implementing a mechanism to resolve conflicts of interest when persons in control of content reported relevant financial relationships. The only evidence provided was an attestation form signed by the speaker/planner/staff that stated, “I will ensure that any financial relationship that I have with a commercial interest will not effect the recommendations I make about clinical care.” Attestation alone is not a mechanism to resolve conflicts of interest. (SCS 2)

Example 8. Both in the self study report and the activity files reviewed, the evidence demonstrates that all persons in control of content, including planners and staff, for example, do not consistently disclose the presence of absence of relevant financial relationships to the provider. In addition, the provider defines a commercial interest as “any proprietary entity producing health care goods or services,” which is not consistent with the ACCME’s current definition of a commercial interest. For these reasons, not all conflicts of interest could be identified or resolved. (SCS 2)

Example 9. The evidence presented did not demonstrate that disclosure to learners included the presence or absence of relevant financial relationships for all persons in control of content, including, for example, journal editors or content reviewers. (SCS6)

Example 10. In both its live activities and enduring materials, the provider did not consistently disclose to learners the presence or absence of relevant financial relationships of all who control CME content, for example, planners. The provider did not consistently disclose to learners the presence of relevant financial relationships that had been shared with the provider. In addition, when disclosure occurred verbally at the activity, the provider did not consistently have evidence regarding what disclosures were made. (SCS 6)

Example 11. The provider did not disclose to learners relevant financial relationships of all persons who control content. The provider’s disclosure to learners did not include the name of the commercial interest with which the individual had a relevant financial relationship. (SCS 6)

Example 12. The provider did not disclose to learners the relevant financial relationships of all persons who control content, including for example, all faculty, test-item writers and reviewers, and CME committee members. In addition, the provider did not consistently disclose commercial support. (SCS 6)

Example 13. The provider uses a "Documentation Review Form for Verbal Disclosure," which lists the "name and role of individual discloser," but in the two activities presented, this is the name of a staffer and not the speaker. It is unclear from these forms what, exactly, is being disclosed to the learner. (SCS 6)
Criterion 8: The provider appropriately manages commercial support (if applicable, SCS 3 of the ACCME Standards for Commercial SupportSM).

**ACCME note about Criterion 8:** If they chose to accept commercial support, providers are expected to solicit, accept, and use commercial support appropriately and in accord with the parameters of Standard 3 of the ACCME Standards for Commercial Support. Even if the provider does not accept commercial support, the provider is still expected to have policies and procedures in place that govern how (if) they pay honoraria and reimburse expenses for those involved in the planning and presentation of their CME activities.

**Examples of Compliance with Criterion 8:**

Example 1. The provider included a narrative description (supported by performance-in-practice materials) to evidence a comprehensive approach to ensuring the appropriate management of commercial support. The evidence included not only policies and forms that are used, but also examples of the processes being implemented within the provider’s commercially-supported activities (eg, communications between the provider and commercial supporter, signed letters of agreement, accounting of activity-related expenditures).

**Examples of Noncompliance with Criterion 8:**

Example 1. For the activities reviewed that accepted commercial support, some written agreements were not present, and some written agreements did not include the signature of the commercial supporter.

Example 2. The provider did not consistently have all written agreements for commercial support signed by both the provider and commercial supporter prior to the activity.

Example 3. The evidence presented for the activities reviewed did not demonstrate that the provider paid honoraria and expenses in compliance with its own policies. In several instances, the provider indicated/assumed the honoraria policy was not applicable because commercial support was not accepted.

Example 4. For its commercially supported activities, the provider had several written agreements that were signed only by the commercial supporter, and not by the provider.

Criterion 9: The provider maintains a separation of promotion from education (SCS 4).

**ACCME note about Criterion 9:** Providers must ensure that their learners can participate in educational activities without seeing, reading or hearing promotional or marketing information from commercial interests. Further, accredited providers must ensure that the selling of advertising or exhibit space is a business transaction entirely separate from the acceptance of commercial support for accredited CME.

**Examples of Compliance with Criterion 9:**

Example 1. In the self study narrative, the provider described its processes for ensuring that promotional events at its annual meeting (eg, exhibit halls) are kept distinct and separate from CME activities by not only their location and time in the program schedule, but also in how these events are clearly described as “Promotional”

**Examples of Noncompliance with Criterion 9:**

Example 1. It was not clear that the provider appropriately maintained a separation of promotion from education. The provider’s evidence demonstrated that meetings between learners and industry representatives in a commercial exhibitors’ hall was considered
part of their educational activity. Although the exhibits were not in the same room as lectures and video demonstrations, discussion with representatives of the commercial interest at the exhibit was considered by the provider to be part of the learner’s CME experience.

**Criterion 10:** The provider actively promotes improvements in health care and NOT proprietary interests of a commercial interest (SCS 5).

**ACCME note about Criterion 10:** Providers are expected to ensure that their CME programs and activities advance the public interest without bias that would influence health professionals to overuse or misuse the products or services of a commercial interest.

**Examples of Compliance with Criterion 10:**

Example 1. The provider demonstrated that all scientific content and clinical recommendations made within CME activities are reviewed by a three member “content review council.” The criteria for that “clinical data and recommendations” address valid public health issues as defined by government resources like the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services and the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.

**Examples of Noncompliance with Criterion 10:**

Example 1. The provider presented at least one activity that promoted proprietary interests of a commercial interest. An enduring material CME activity presented in its self study report that focused on the clinical trials of a single drug made by the commercial supporter. The activity did not present a discussion of other therapeutic options.

**Organizational Self Assessment and Improvement (C11 - C15)**

**Criterion 11:** The provider analyzes changes in learners (competence, performance, or patient outcomes) achieved as a result of the overall program’s activities/educational interventions.

**ACCME note about Criterion 11:** The provider is asked to analyze the overall changes in competence, performance, or patient outcomes facilitated by their CME program using data and information from each CME activity. Providers who only measure change in knowledge in all their activities will not have any data on change in competence, performance, or patient outcomes to analyze.

**Examples of Compliance with Criterion 11:**

Example 1. The provider collects data about the change in learners’ competence by using audience response from case studies and skills workshops. The provider uses these data to draw conclusions about its CME program’s activities impact on changing physicians’ competence.

Example 2. The provider conducted an analysis of learner change data. In the activities reviewed, the provider asked learners if they will make a change in practice and for them to describe what they will do differently – an explicit expression of a change in their approach (competence). These data are then aggregated and analyzed by the CME Committee.

Example 3. The provider collects and analyzes data about learner change through participant evaluations that happen immediately after the event (by asking what the learners will do differently in their practices) and 12 weeks after the event (by asking what the learners have done). The provider uses these data to draw
conclusions about the changes in learners’ competence and performance that have been supported by its CME program’s activities.

Example 4. The provider documented pre- and post-activity changes in competence related to multiple CME activities in 2008 and 2009 by using clinical case vignettes, and other mechanisms. The provider also analyzes overall impact of RSSs on such patient outcomes as heart failure management, Vermont Oxford neonatal performance data, and the 5 million lives campaign. The provider measures and documents changes in physician competence through a number of mechanisms including pre- and post-tests and clinical case vignettes. In addition, they presented data on changes on a number of quality and safety gaps as a result of their RSSs.

Example 5. The providers uses three month follow up surveys at the activity level to measure change in competence and performance. The provider included summary data in the self study report across all learners. In addition, the provider produces an annual executive summary of data by therapeutic area.

Examples of Noncompliance with Criterion 11:

Example 1. The provider evaluated learner satisfaction but not changes in competence, performance, or patient outcomes. In some activities, the provider asks “if” the activity will enhance professional effectiveness, which does not allow for the explicit expression of “what” will change. In addition, the provider did not collect or review any other information about changes in learners’ competence, performance, or in patient outcomes. The provider, therefore, did not have data to conduct an analysis of changes in learners’ competence, performance, or changes in patient outcomes that resulted from the provider’s program’s activities.

Example 2. The provider did not conduct analysis of learner change data. The provider only measures changes in learners’ knowledge in the evaluation tool utilized. In addition, there is no analysis of the changes in learner competence, performance or patient outcomes across the Program.

Example 3. While the provider evaluates its individual activities for changes in learners’ competence or performance, the provider did not use these or any other change data in an overall analysis of the changes (physician competence, performance, or patient outcomes) that resulted from the provider’s overall program’s activities.

Example 4. In its self study report and the activities reviewed, the provider evaluates learner satisfaction and not changes in competence, performance, or patient outcomes. Therefore, the provider did not have data to conduct an analysis of change in learners’ competence, performance, or patient outcomes. [The provider has started to include a question on its evaluation form asking learners to list a specific change they will make in practice; this may be one way to collect data which will allow for analysis of learner change in the future.]

Example 5. The evidence presented in the self study report and in the activities reviewed demonstrated that the provider evaluated learner satisfaction and whether learning objectives were met. The provider did not present data related to, or an analysis of, changes in physician competence, performance, and/or patient outcomes.
**Criterion 12:** The provider **gathers data** or information and **conducts a program-based analysis** on the degree to which the CME mission of the provider has been met through the conduct of CME activities/educational interventions.

**ACCME note about Criterion 12:** The provider is asked to integrate C11 information with a broader view of the CME program and organization — to determine the program’s success at meeting all components of its own CME mission as described in C1 (ie, purpose, content areas, target audience, type of activities, and expected results). Providers that review only activity measures of change (expected results) without looking at the other components of the mission will **not** be found in compliance by the ACCME. Providers should consider that there are five components to an ACCME-defined mission statement and therefore there are at least five components to an ACCME-defined “program-based analysis” on the degree to which the CME mission of the provider has been met. There are clear relationships between C11, C12, and C13-15 which relate to improvement plans based on this program-based analysis.

**Example of Compliance with Criterion 12:**

**Example 1.** The provider conducted an overall program evaluation and concluded that all components of its mission statement were being met. The provider demonstrated that it has fulfilled its purpose of enhancing professional development, achieved learning objectives and changed physicians’ competence, reached its target audience, provided CME in the content areas and with the types of activities stated in its mission statement.

**Example 2.** In reviewing the extent to which its CME program addressed the five components of its mission statement, the provider recognized that it had been designing activities to change learner knowledge, but not competence, performance, and/or patient outcomes (as required by Criterion 1, “Expected Results” and Criterion 3). It also found that it did not know if the activities designed to change knowledge contributed to changing competence or performance or patient outcomes as stipulated in its mission statement. In its self study narrative and survey interview, the provider acknowledged this issue and articulated changes it would make to its planning processes to ensure that activities are designed to change learners’ competence, performance, and/or patient outcomes.

**Example 3.** In its self study report, the provider concluded that it had fulfilled its purpose, “to improve interdisciplinary care of persons with clefts and craniofacial anomalies;” had been successful in, “making professionals aware of new clinical and research information,” and achieved a portion of its expected results of, “updating the knowledge and skills of physicians in both their own disciplines and in the other disciplines with which they provided care.”

**Example 4.** The provider conducted an overall program evaluation and concluded that all components of its mission statement were being met. The provider demonstrated that it has fulfilled its purpose of enhancing professional development, achieved learning objectives and changed physicians’ competence, reached its target audience, provided CME in the content areas and with the types of activities stated in its mission statement.

**Example 5.** The provider excerpted minutes from the annual meeting of its CE committee in which the group evaluated the degree to which the organization had met each of the elements of its mission statement.
Examples of Noncompliance with Criterion 12:

Example 1. Data and information was not presented to demonstrate that the provider conducts a program-based analysis on the degree to which the CME mission has been met. The provider did not address the degree to which the program has achieved expected results as articulated in terms of competence, performance, or patient outcomes.

Example 2. Data and information presented did not relate to the CME mission. The provider’s method for overall program analysis is a survey of their membership as to their satisfaction with the CME program. Information was provided about the organization’s operational function – but this was not related to an evaluation of the extent to which the CME mission was fulfilled. For example, the organization does a SWOT analysis and has a comprehensive strategic planning process associated with annual retreat, but this does not address the CME mission or CME program.

Example 3. The provider’s program-based analysis on the degree to which the CME mission has been met did not address expected results based on data that would support an analysis of changes in learners’ competence, performance or patient outcomes.

Example 4. The provider did not present, and was unable to describe, any data from which it could determine whether or not it was meeting its purpose as described in the organization’s mission statement.

Example 5. The evidence provided fails to demonstrate that the provider presently performs program analyses to evaluate how it is meeting its mission. In particular, the provider has not provided information or analysis on the extent to which it has achieved the expected results outlined in its mission statement.

Criterion 13: The provider identifies, plans and implements the needed or desired changes in the overall program (eg, planners, teachers, infrastructure, methods, resources, facilities, interventions) that are required to improve on ability to meet the CME mission.

ACCME note about Criterion 13: The provider identifies its own ‘professional practice gaps’ in terms of its performance as a CME provider - and creates a strategic plan for organizational improvement, based on the insights from C11 and 12.

Examples of Compliance with Criterion 13:

Example 1. The provider has described several changes including an electronic disclosure and COI system, a moderator evaluation process, expanded use of the audience response system, a restructuring of the skills model lab, and additional improvements in the evaluation system.

Example 2. In its self study narrative, the provider recognized that it failed to measure changes in learners’ competence, performance, and/or patient outcomes in its CME activities, making it impossible to analyze changes in competence, performance, and/or patient outcomes as required by Criterion 11. The provider described in its self study narrative and survey interview an “improvement plan” that it created to rectify the issue in future activity planning and evaluation.

Example 3. In its self study report, the provider describes an improvement plan based on reports that determine if they are maintaining attendee levels from primary disciplines in team care, activity evaluations that determine whether to continue with certain educational formats and exhibits, debriefing meetings after the
annual meeting to identify process or logistics areas for improvement, and membership surveys to determine why non-participants do not attend the annual meeting.

Example 4. The provider describes the identification, planning, and implementation of a list of changes designed to better achieve its mission. Examples include: improved evaluation forms that capture change data; post-meeting surveys; accessing quality improvement databases; outreach efforts to general pathologists to include them in their target audience for CME activities.

Example 5. The provider described the following five changes it will implement as a result of its analysis on how it was meeting its CME mission: (1) purchasing an association management system to track learners; (2) using new software to connect with learners before and after CME activities; (3) identification of a "best practice" planning document; (4) having the CME/MOC Committee review the overall CME program to include how well the organization was achieving its CME mission; and (5) changing the evaluation rating scale.

Examples of Noncompliance with Criterion 13:

Example 1. The provider did not present information to demonstrate that they have identified changes required to improve their ability to meet the CME mission.

Example 2. The provider indicated that upon review of its effectiveness, no changes or improvements were required. However, noncompliance findings in other Criteria indicate there are, in fact, improvements that could be made.

Criterion 14: The provider demonstrates that identified program changes or improvements, that are required to improve on the provider’s ability to meet the CME mission, are underway or completed.

ACCME note about Criterion 14: The provider demonstrates the implementation of the change plans described in Criterion 13.

Examples of Compliance with Criterion 14:

Example 1. The provider has demonstrated a number of changes that are underway or have already been completed. These changes include improved pre-activity questionnaires, inclusion of Q&A sessions, expansion of master's classes, and a searchable web page of scholarly works.

Example 2. The provider has demonstrated the institution of a learning management system in July 2008 and provided results of the beta testing of the system.

Example 3. The provider states that to expand visibility, it has introduced online programming that will be available soon. The provider also developed new standards for interdisciplinary team care and conducted a pilot program with five teams. Two of the teams have already reported some changes in patient outcomes.

Example 4. The provider included a "CE Advisory Council Educational Effectiveness Checklist" in a few of its activity files in support of Criterion 14. This reflects a change made at the programmatic level that is demonstrated in its activity files. CE Council members are asked to observe and evaluate an activity in real time and provide feedback to the provider.
Examples of Noncompliance with Criterion 14:

Example 1. The provider did not present evidence to demonstrate that identified changes or improvements required to improve the provider’s ability to meet the CME mission are underway or completed.

Example 2. Program improvements to help the provider better meet its CME mission have not been identified, so changes are not underway or completed.

Criterion 15: The provider demonstrates that the impacts of program improvements, that are required to improve on the provider’s ability to meet the CME mission, are measured.

ACCME note about Criterion 15: This criterion is analogous to C12 – and is focused on the results of the specific changes implemented in C14. What impact have the improvements that were implemented in C14 had on the ability of the provider to meet its mission?

Example of Compliance with Criterion 15:

Example 1. The provider described a number of ways that it is measuring the impact of the improvements that it identified through its program-based analysis. Some of these improvements were also demonstrated in the activity files, eg, revised planning forms, evidence of disclosure to learners.

Example 2. As a result of improvements the provider implemented to its evaluation and assessment practices, the provider has observed a higher rate of completion for online surveys and more comprehensive data to track changes in learners against professional practice gaps.

Example 3. The provider determines the impact of its program improvements through activity/learner evaluations, comprehensive activity summaries, committee analysis, external data and member surveys.

Example of Noncompliance with Criterion 15:

Example 1. The provider did not demonstrate that it measured the impact of program improvements but indicates that information will be gathered, in the future, to determine if changes made have fulfilled the intended purpose.

Example 2. During the interview, the provider stated that it has only just begun to work on the elements they are trying to improve. At the time of its accreditation review, the provider had not yet measured the impact of any of these changes.

Example 3. The provider did not demonstrate that it measured the impact of program improvements, but indicated that the (future) measuring instrument will be a survey of its readership overseen by its editorial leadership.

Engagement: Criteria for Accreditation with Commendation (C16 - C22)

Criterion 16: The provider operates in a manner that integrates CME into the process for improving professional practice.

ACCME note about Criterion 16: The onus is on the provider to show that they have inserted CME into the processes to improve professional practice. Providers need to show that their CME program have a presence, influence, or contributory role in practice improvement.
The provider goes beyond activity planning to show that CME is used as one of the tools to improve the professional practice. C16 can also be about the use of CME in facilitating systems-based quality improvement activities if the quality improvement activity is about changing professional practice.

Examples of Compliance with Criterion 16:

Example 1. The provider’s organizational structure has positioned CME to be a change agent within the organization. CME leaders of the institution have been strategically placed within key organizational groups that have as goals and work initiatives to improve physician’s professional practice. For example, CME is integrated with case management, performance improvement and patient services. The provider has created a link from CME to all professional practice improvement activities through the nesting of CME within the organizational structure of all committees that address professional practice issues and representation on all primary clinical/medical components of the provider’s institution.

Example 2. The provider’s integration of CME into the process of improving professional practice involves identifying and addressing educational needs from practice/point of care setting and then using educational methods such as simulation to link teaching points to that practice/point of care setting. Such topics include adherence to evidence-based guidelines for practice, disclosure of adverse outcomes to patients/families, patient safety.

Example 3. The provider develops practice guidelines and evidence-based clinical position statements, and produces CME activities to educate participants on these guidelines and recommendations. The provider has piloted and is implementing a comprehensive strategy for quality improvement within a specific clinical discipline, and has integrated CME into this strategy. The provider promotes efficient medical practice management as the cornerstone for the delivery of high quality patient care and offers a wide range of practice-management education to help improve physicians’ professional practice.

Example 4. The priorities of a CME provider within the U.S. military are set by federal government oversight. As a result, their CME program is focused on improving the professional practice of the physicians providing care to military personnel and their families. An example given was that the military relies on the CME provider to help ensure that forward surgical training teams deployed to combat environments are prepared for such duties. In addition, when government reports highlighted a need to improve the trauma care provided to soldiers in wartime settings, the provider’s CME program was utilized to help make these improvements in professional practice.

Example 5. The provider has utilized CME to improve professional practice and patient outcomes within the institution. The CME Committee has representation from the Practice Improvement teams in the hospital. These teams, using internal quality improvement data, have developed CME activities to improve performance in areas such as MRSA surveillance and screening, surgical site-marking, “door to balloon” timing for angioplasty, use of guidelines related to dosing, as well as monitoring of glucose control in hospitalized patients.

Example 6. The provider’s leadership has integrated the CME unit into the organizational structure in order to position CME as a change agent to help improve the professional practice of its...
physicians. For example, working in concert with quality improvement, the education department targets physician behaviors that negatively impact professional practice. After determining the practice problem, the education department develops and then conducts follow-up to assess practice improvements. When the expected level of improvement in professional practice is not achieved, the provider has implemented additional education. As another example, the provider is included in broad efforts to improve professional practice. After integrating new cardiac services to the hospital, the provider developed a weekly heart center performance-improvement conference to create a forum for continuous practice-based improvement related to cardiac care.

Examples of Noncompliance with Criterion 16:

Example 1. In its self study report and supplemental materials, the provider describes that its CME planning and design processes are, “designed to help facilitate changes in physician performance.” The provider did not demonstrate with this information, or in other descriptions and examples, that it operates in a manner that integrates CME into the process for improving professional practice.

Example 2. The provider presented an example of one activity that integrates CME into the process for improving professional practice, but did not demonstrate that the CME program operates in a manner that integrates CME into the process for improving professional practice.

Example 3. The provider describes a process of integrating CME into point of care settings using a number of different educational methods. However, the provider did not demonstrate with this information, or with other information presented, how CME is integrated into the process for improving professional practice.

Criterion 17: The provider utilizes non-education strategies to enhance change as an adjunct to its activities/educational interventions (eg, reminders, patient feedback).

ACCME note about Criterion 17: The ACCME is looking for evidence of the use of strategies such as, but not limited to, rewards, process redesign, peer review, audit feedback, monitoring, reminders as tools to enhance, or facilitate, change. Some providers are concerned that some of these may be considered ‘educational’ as they potentially change what people ‘know’ or because they inform learners (eg, “It may be time for you to call back your patients with…”). In C17, the ACCME is looking for tactics that go beyond the educational activity or intervention. Essentially, the ACCME is looking for providers to be broadening the range of tools they use to facilitate change. We are providing specific examples of what the ACCME has been accepting as ‘non educational strategies’.

Examples of Compliance with Criterion 17:

Example 1. The provider used linked laptops to form a social network among learners during activities.

Example 2. The provider used a web-based tool to allow learners to design their own experience at activities.

Example 3. The provider has used strategies such as physician reminders, safety flip charts, order sheets with parameters of care, a pain-scale assessment tool, and progress note templates.

Example 4. The provider utilized several adjuncts to health center based CME, including the use of a SWOT analysis in a non-CME strategic planning session to improve colorectal cancer screening and the creation and distribution of a diabetes flow sheet used in
ambulatory medical records. The provider also facilitated team-building for the entire health care staff.

Example 5. The provider supplements its CME activities with updates and reminders on aspects of patient care, post-conference e-mails, electronic newsletters, patient education materials, and a mechanism for peer review of clinical practice.

Example 6. For its diabetes care activities, the provider used a comprehensive care guide for health care professionals with tools such as standing orders, care flow sheets, self-management checklists, eye exam reports, foot exam forms, clinical guidelines, and practical tools for patients on diet and exercise.

Example 7. To support changes in physicians’ approaches to overweight and obese patients, the provider developed a wall chart, a poster featuring a Body-Mass Index (BMI) table, links to online and downloadable BMI calculators for hand-held devices. A range of activities were developed in support the patient-focused National Colorectal Cancer Awareness Month. At an Annual Meeting, the provider also developed a laminated reference card with CDC infection control guidelines to support infection-control practices of attendees.

Example 8. The provider uses non-educational strategies to enhance change, including the distribution and use of an interactive DVD on sexual assault, algorithms on the exam and workup of hip and shoulder trauma, a quality improvement assessment tool for vaccination optimization, tip cards for suicide prevention, patient surveys to facilitate appropriate medical and social support services, and a handbook with resources available at the medical center.

Example 9. The provider uses hard copy reminders and pocket cards distributed in handouts for some programs. At some activities, they also distribute flash-memory thumb drives containing reminders and pocket cards that can be printed. Practice guidelines that are discussed during the activity are uploaded into the electronic health record for immediate use by physicians.

Example 10. The provider uses registry reports, individual medical record (IMR) alerts, clinical decision support tools and algorithms, patient education resources, standardized clinical protocols, standing orders, and shorthand documentation templates.

Examples of Noncompliance with Criterion 17:

Example 1. The provider describes the use of non-physician outreach liaisons that visit 75-100 physicians per year. The liaison visits are primarily to build relationships with referring physicians, maintain referrals, and to describe new services at the medical center.

Example 2. Although the provider described a single activity in which laminated reminder cards were distributed to physicians as a prompt for best-practice taking of a family history, the provider failed to demonstrate non-educational approaches as an adjunct to any other activities within its CME Program.

Example 3. The provider described several initiatives/non-education strategies such as the development of practice guidelines and technical standards and participation in a physician-focused consortium. However, the provider did not demonstrate that these
initiatives were strategies to enhance change as an adjunct to its CME activities.

**Criterion 18:** The provider identifies factors outside the provider’s control that impact on patient outcomes.

**ACCME note about Criterion 18:** The provider has data and information that explains patient outcomes, beyond the performance of their learners. Here the provider demonstrates knowledge of the factors contributing to the health care ‘quality gap’ about which they are concerned.

**Examples of Compliance with Criterion 18:**

**Example 1.** The provider has identified and grouped factors outside of its control into categories of: (1) financial factors (eg, reimbursement issues, costs of pharmaceuticals), (2) human factors (eg., patient issues, health care provider factors), and (3) state of science (eg, capabilities and resources of the institution to provide the needed care).

**Example 2.** The provider identified factors such as health insurance coverage and physician communication skills. In addition, the provider implemented a “SWOT” (strengths-weaknesses-opportunities-threats) analysis that yielded several additional factors that would impact outcomes related to colorectal cancer screening such as cost and patients transportation.

**Example 3.** The identification of factors is built into the provider’s CME planning process. Cues to identify factors are listed on the provider’s planning form and include items for selection, as relevant to the activity content, such as “lack of insurance reimbursement, lack of resources.” As an example, the provider identified the factor of physician resistance to change.

**Example 4.** The provider identified poverty and underserved communities as factors that impact patient outcomes, and established a foundation that supports the education of physicians from impoverished and underserved areas. The provider also identified patient fear and lack of factual information for the public as factors that impact patient outcomes. The provider has developed a public-access website with patient information about neuromuscular diseases to help provide the public with valid information.

**Example 5.** Follow-up activity evaluations asked learners what changes they implemented and if they encountered barriers to those changes. The responses showed “health insurance coverage,” “lack of time to assess/counsel patients,” “lack of administrative support,” and “patient noncompliance” as barriers to implementing change. Adoption of electronic health records, a topic of several CME activities, was noted to be affected by barriers such as cost, time required to investigate systems, workflow changes, and training requirements.

**Example 6.** The provider asks physician learners on-site at CME conferences if they are considering making any changes in their practices and then queries them again in three months. Those that indicated a failure to implement the changes they had identified cited the following factors: the inability to get practice owners to adopt a new checklist approach; laptop mobility problems impeding use of online diagnostic resources; and resistance by allied health staff to changing protocols.

**Example 7.** The provider identified the anticipation of a hepatitis C epidemic, improved tolerability of HCV therapies for patients, the role of the Internet as a source of health information, and
the need to support consumers to become better informed about digestive health care as factors beyond its control impacting patient outcomes.

Example 8. By asking learners about barriers, the provider has identified factors including, pervasive misinformation about the anthrax vaccine, challenges with non-formulary drugs, cultural challenges associated with physicians working in various international settings with different policies in effect, and patients that die before they can get medical care.

Example 9. The provider identified differences in scope of practice between physicians and nurse anesthetists, on-call schedules, the healthcare team’s workloads, relationships with colleagues, hospital policies, and state requirements as factors impacting patient outcomes.

Examples of Noncompliance with Criterion 18:

Example 1. In its self study report, the provider indicated that it, “identified factors that impact on patient outcomes.” However, no factors were described. Further review of activity files and discussion during the survey interview failed to provide more information to support the provider’s compliance with Criterion 18.

Criterion 19: The provider implements educational strategies to remove, overcome or address barriers to physician change.

ACCME note about Criterion 19: The provider has data and information on barriers to change applicable to its own learners, and incorporates these insights into its CME program through activities. In C19, the provider shows that activities are included in their educational program that are focused on ‘overcoming barriers to physician change.’

Examples of Compliance with Criterion 19:

Example 1. The implementation of educational strategies to remove, overcome, or address barriers is a part of the provider’s CME activity planning process. For example, a CME activity on the HPV vaccine included specific information on myths and misconceptions regarding the virus and the vaccine. In another example, a CME activity on cardiac issues in women covered content related to gender stereotypes which can delay diagnosis and treatment for women.

Example 2. The provider described the inclusion of content into CME activities that would address barriers to change, such as content related to how to more effectively utilize nurse assistants to help ensure BMI calculation is always done and is reviewed by the physician and effective screening tools to assess geriatric patients in a busy practice.

Example 3. When barriers are identified, the provider’s planning process calls the integration of discussions into the CME activity that will address the barrier. As an example, the provider identified physician resistance to changing the manner in which medications have historically been written as a barrier. The provider implemented as an educational strategy the use of real-life examples of very poorly handwritten medical orders in response to which the physician learners were asked to interpret via an audience response system.

Example 4. A survey was completed to identify perceived barriers to physician change, and CME activities are subsequently designed to
address them. For example, technical incompetence in properly implementing electrodiagnostic testing and making an appropriate diagnosis is a focus of educational efforts. Limited time for physicians in practice has also been identified as a barrier, and educational efforts at increasing efficiency of report writing have been delivered. The provider has striven to offer multiple asynchronous learning modalities to address issues related to “limited time for learning.”

Example 5. The provider implemented an online electronic health record comparison tool to help physicians compare 23 certified systems based on functionality, cost, security and interoperability. To address barriers to its diabetes CME activity, the provider developed a care guide that includes information on a team-based model to provide administrative and patient care support and how to implement the changes. Several examples of CME activity agendas were provided that addressed the incorporation of topics to address barriers in health disparities, inefficiencies, insurance issues, communication, and health literacy.

Example 6. The provider has implemented strategies such as treatment protocols, education on patient and family centered care, education on overcoming barriers related to improper diabetes management and education to help physicians overcome ethical issues they confront.

Example 7. In its self study report, the provider described several educational strategies it implemented to help physicians overcome barriers related to disclosing mistakes and apologizing to patients. These educational efforts included individual CME activities, content in grand rounds and seminars, content in new physician orientation and a website about disclosure and apology.

Example 8. The provider developed a web tool on their organizational intranet that facilitates communication and provides a number of resources, including clinical information for conditions that require frequent consultation, educational sessions focused on effective and efficient methods of communicating with patients, and development of materials to enable health care professionals to help patients with their financial problems.

Examples of Noncompliance with Criterion 19:

Example 1. The provider presented two examples of how to address factors that impact on patient outcomes. However, the provider did not demonstrate with this information, or with other information presented, that it implemented educational strategies to remove, overcome or address barriers to physician change.

Criterion 20: The provider builds bridges with other stakeholders through collaboration and cooperation.

ACCME note about Criterion 20: The provider allies itself with other organizations in a purposeful manner to achieve common interests. These collaborations may support any aspect of the provider’s CME program in service of achieving its mission. The ACCME does not consider joint sponsorship, in itself, as a collaboration that will guarantee compliance with C20. However, joint sponsorship can be a byproduct of a larger collaboration and if this larger collaboration is described for ACCME, then it could result in compliance with C20. In C20, the ACCME is looking for active engagement in collaborative and cooperative projects.

Examples of Compliance with Criterion 20:

Example 1. The provider participates in a variety of collaborations and cooperative initiatives, including those with <XXX> University (on safety issues), the <XXX> Institute of <XXX> (on <XXX>
management) and the <XXX> Hospital Association (on improving quality of care).

Example 2. The provider identified multiple stakeholders with which it partners, e.g., <XXX> County Department of Health Services (for Colorectal Cancer Screening), <XXX> State Bioterrorism Preparedness, and <XXX> State Quality Improvement Organization (to help identify professional practice gaps for CME).

Example 3. Examples include collaboration with other medical schools on an annual cancer treatment activity, with the National <XXX> Foundation for a multidisciplinary activity on <XXX> disease care, with community organizations to help improve overall community health, and with a software company to bring new technology to e-learning.

Example 4. The provider builds bridges with stakeholders through collaboration and cooperation in the development of published clinical guidelines and clinical evidence reviews on HIV screening (with a medical association partner), dementia treatment (with a medical specialty society partner), low back pain (with another medical society partner), and management of venous thromboembolism (with a physician membership organization). For its performance improvement activities, it acted as a member of national quality improvement-focused consortia. The provider has individuals involved as members on various performance measurement workgroups and advisory boards. For its diabetes CME activities, the provider collaborated with the various national diabetes organizations. For its MOC activities, it collaborated with and provided feedback to a specialty board.

Example 5. The provider described several collaborations, including those with: a pediatric health system and community partners to help children grow up healthy; a community-based pediatric association to develop a yearly educational program created to improve the standard of pediatric care locally; a public-private commission of schools, community agencies, and families on a conference for children with special needs; and, a pediatric specialty society to develop a CME activity for pediatric surgeons from around the world with a special focus on the care of children with cerebral palsy.

Example 6. The provider identified several interdisciplinary stakeholders with which it partners, including a nurse specialty society and professional organization for physician assistants on an allied health symposium; a national specialty society and disease-focused association on a collaboration to reduce the risk of gastrointestinal problems and to improve public health. The provider also works with a diverse stakeholder group on national campaign to address obesity, including members of Congress and a wide range of consumer and disease advocacy groups.

Example 7. The provider collaborated with a physician membership organization to establish a committee on physician reentry, and with a disease-focused professional society to address issues related to injury and violence. In addition, the provider listed twenty organizations with which it collaborates to identify community health problems and works cooperatively on strategies and educational efforts that address various community health issues including: teen pregnancy, infant mortality, immunization, adolescent homicide, access to health care, and obesity. The results of these collaborations have led to educational
Example 8. The provider describes collaboration with shared stakeholders including a national lung cancer partnership, a blood and marrow transplant program, and a national patient advocacy foundation. In the cases listed, the provider and the organizations identified shared goals for education and worked together over time to produce programs designed to improve patient care and patient outcomes.

Examples of Noncompliance with Criterion 20:

Example 1. The provider lists its joint sponsorship relationships, but provides no description or evidence that these relationships are collaborative or cooperative.

Criterion 21: The provider participates within an institutional or system framework for quality improvement.

ACCME note about Criterion 21: The provider is focused on integrating and contributing to healthcare quality improvement. In C21, the provider has evidence that CME has become a part of institutional, or system, quality improvement efforts. ‘System’ can also include the network of other organizations in the health care ‘system’. (Note: organizational self-assessment and improvement focused on improving the quality of the CME program are recognized and reward in C12-15, not in C21.)

Examples of Compliance with Criterion 21:

Example 1. The framework of the institution is designed to promote quality improvement. The inclusion of multiple institutional stakeholders and departments on the performance improvement committee allows the provider to make decisions regarding the most appropriate ways to address identified quality gaps. When education is appropriate, CME stands ready to address the gap. The organization is also positioned to apply other appropriate interventions, such as technology changes and staffing modifications. In addition, Performance Improvement data is shared with the CME committee, which includes all specialty departments.

Example 2. Leaders of the CME Program interact and are actively involved with the Hospital Quality Improvement Office and the Quality Coordination Group.

Example 3. Quality Improvement is articulated in the CME mission statement. The CME office has a representative on the local college of medicine’s Quality and Safety Committee. In addition, evidence of the implementation of this framework through CME activities such as, a certificate program regarding risk management, an on-line risk management course, and a three-day course to help improve medical ordering.

Example 4. The provider has a Quality Improvement Task Force which is engaged in activities to improve patient outcomes. The provider works closely with a number of QI associations, including the NQF and the AMA Physician Consortium for PI.

Example 5. The provider’s CME committee is comprised of subcommittee chairs and editors from the organization’s Clinical Efficacy Assessment Subcommittee and Performance Measurement Subcommittee. These are quality improvement subcommittees of the organization whose work informs the evidence base and identification of practice gaps for the provider’s CME activities. These subcommittees are liaisons...
to national quality coalitions. A key member of the CME team is the director of Clinical Programs and Quality of Care who helped develop quality improvement activities, specifically the diabetes initiatives. Through these relationships, evidence was provided that the provider participates within its own internal as well as external frameworks for quality improvement.

Example 6. Several institutional quality improvement leaders serve on the primary CME committee and are active in planning activities. Multiple CME activities are directly focused on institutional quality improvement issues.

Example 7. The provider participates both within an internal institutional framework and an external system aimed at quality improvement. The provider has a Quality Task Force and Task Force on the Future of GI Training. Externally, the provider is represented in various organizations and coalitions where quality issues are discussed and performance measures developed, including the American Board of Internal Medicine, the American Medical Association, the American College of Physicians, and the National Quality Forum for Health Care Quality Measurement. Data and insights from these external efforts were integrated into various educational activities. The provider has been actively engaged with the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services’ Physician Quality Reporting Initiative, along with the National Quality Forum and the Ambulatory Care Quality Alliance Performance Workgroup in the development of peer reviewed quality measures. Once measures are adopted, the provider educates its membership and provides tools for integration into practice.

Example 8. The provider participates within a framework for quality improvement. The CME committee includes representatives from its quality improvement department who provide input into activity planning. Activities are developed to focus on clinical practice guidelines and quality initiatives. Weekly teleconferences occur between the quality department and the CME unit. Evidence was present of the quality-improvement focus in many activities selected for performance-in-practice review.

Examples of Noncompliance with Criterion 21:

Example 1. While mechanisms are in place for enhancing the quality of services and operations within the accredited provider, these mechanisms did not demonstrate that the provider participates within an institutional or system framework for healthcare-related quality improvement efforts.

Example 2. The provider described satellite broadcasts into hospitals and cancer center networks and a local CME speaker program series. However, the provider did not demonstrate with this information, or with other information presented, that it participates within an institutional or system framework for quality improvement.

Criterion 22: The provider is positioned to influence the scope and content of activities/educational interventions.

ACCME note about Criterion 22: Evidence of provider’s control of the development of CME activities from inception of the idea for the CME activities to their evaluation. In C22, the ACCME is looking for the provider’s integral involvement in all CME activities, including those that are jointly sponsored.
Examples of Compliance with Criterion 22:

Example 1. The provider’s framework and processes ensure that the institution is positioned to influence the scope and content of its educational activities/interventions. Regarding the scope of its activities, the CME that is delivered is based on gaps as identified by the Performance Improvement and CME committees. Regarding the content, CME planning is always linked explicitly to an organizational component and/or practice area.

Example 2. Leadership for the provider’s CME program is positioned to influence medical student education, graduate medical education, quality management, faculty/career development, and clinical care. The provider’s CME program is also positioned within the community to influence local and regional CME. The local health department and the QIO turn to the provider’s CME office to help with their performance improvement initiatives.

Example 3. The CME office is strategically connected and initiates CME activities based on specific hospital and system requirements and responds to specific institutional quality and performance issues that impact the safety needs and translates those into CME interventions.

Example 4. The provider’s framework and processes position the organization to influence the scope and content of its activities. In addition, the provider cooperates with other similarly-focused specialty societies to develop a core curriculum and ensure that the education obtained is consistent for the specialty. The content of the curriculum is shared with the planning committees for CME activities, so there is continuity regarding major issues and topics.

Example 5. The provider has positioned itself to influence its scope and content through its comprehensive planning process, which includes an online system that is used for the planning, execution, and evaluation of its activities around the world. The system has entry points for planners, staff, faculty, and learners and generates disclosure information, agendas, evaluation forms, learners’ outcomes reports for its activities. The provider has uses education experts to help planners with the process. It has created training updates for CME planners through teleconferencing.

Examples of Noncompliance with Criterion 22:

Example 1. Information collected from the review of activity files and the survey interview showed that the provider delegates many aspects of its CME program to third parties. Examples of these delegated functions include activity planning, interaction with planners and speakers, content development and review, and the management of commercial support.

Example 2. In its self study report, the provider indicated that its CME program is primarily comprised of jointly-sponsored activities in which joint sponsors identify educational needs for activities, develop educational curricula and conduct evaluation and assessment of the effectiveness of CME activities. In the survey interview, the provider indicated that it, “defers to the expertise,” of its joint sponsors for most of the operation of its CME program.